

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,007	06/18/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0849 3397		
7590 05/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Christopher W. Kennerly			RUDY, ANDREW J		
Baker Botts L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75201			3627		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
	09/884,007	09/884,007 TENORIO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Andrew Josep	h Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory riod will apply and will exp atute, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to n to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-f	inal. formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-33,36 and 37</u> i 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-11,34 and 35</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	s/are withdrawn fr			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) characteristics of characteristics characteristics consisted in the contract of	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been re ents have been re priority documents eau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive .2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)		_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	O-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Par	t of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20050516

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-37 are pending. Claims 12-33, 36 and 37 are still withdrawn as drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicant's February 7, 2005 Amendment and REMARKS have been reviewed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 3-6, are not clear with regards to the "seller database being one of a plurality of seller databases" and "all seller databases in the plurality of seller databases" as the phrases improperly intermix a singular database in juxtaposition with a plurality of databases. As is, it is not clear if a singular seller database is being claimed or a plurality of databases is being referenced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al., US 6,338,067.

Baker discloses, e.g Figs. 3-6, an electronic commerce system operable to analyze product data, e.g. 260, an organized product hierarchy comprising rules, e.g. 206, for a plurality of seller databases, e.g. 268. Baker does not specifically disclose the terminology potential errors. However, when analyzing the hierarchal data disclosed by Baker and a discrepancy is noticed, the system takes note of it and corrects such. Thus, to have associated the rules of Baker to indicate that a potential error exists for two products exists would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been to associate the rules with correction factors that are immediately addressed in order to provide a more reliable product. With regards to the global directory, the various databases disclosed by Baker may be viewed as global. Applicant's February 7, 2005 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

6. A further pertinent reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-892.

Art Unit: 3627

7. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement received February 16, 2005 has been reviewed. Note attached PTO-1449.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Ridy